

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/763,106	02/16/2001	Martin Sugar	BEIERSDORF 7	3482
7	590 01/28/2003			•
Norris McLaughlin & Marcus 220 East 42nd Street 30th Floor			EXAMINER	
			BERMAN, ALYSIA	
New York, NY 10017		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/763,106	SCHMUCKER ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alysia Berman	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice it is applicated and the same it	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ree. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: the proposed amendment to claim 13 wa	s not previously presented or consi	idered.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered and an ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10.						
		RUSSELL TRAVERS PRIMAD AXAMVIER GHZUP 1200				

alpero

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims are rejected for reasons of record in the Office Action mailed September 23, 2002, paper no. 11. Applicant has not provided any data of record showing absolute and complete inhibition of the attachment of lauryl ether sulfate to the skin. One of ordinary skill in the art would expect surfactants such as lauryl ether sulfate to bind to liophilic substances such as those contained in human skin. Therefore, Applicant's assertion of prevention of attachment of lauryl ether sulfate to the skin is speculative and is not supported by the specification as filed. Applicant appears to be arguing limitations that are not in the claims, i.e. elimination or reduction in the irritant potential of sodium lauryl ether sulfate. The motivation to combine the references is as dicussed in paper no. 11..

2